

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

JOE HARRELL,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 09-CV-10132

vs.

DISTRICT JUDGE PAUL D. BORMAN

**JENKINS, et al.,
et al.,**

MAGISTRATE JUDGE MONA K. MAJZOUB

Defendants.

_____ /

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

I. RECOMMENDATION: This Court recommends that Defendant Donna Sperry be dismissed from this lawsuit without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m).

II. REPORT:

Plaintiff filed the instant Complaint on January 12, 2009. (Docket no. 1). Plaintiff was granted *in forma pauperis* status on February 25, 2009. (Docket no. 4). On December 11, 2009 the Marshal was directed to effectuate service without prepayment of costs. (Docket no. 13). Service was attempted on Defendant Donna Sperry at the Macomb Correctional Facility. The notice was returned unexecuted, however. (Docket no. 27). Plaintiff has not offered an alternate address for Defendant Sperry.

The time for service of the summons and complaint is established under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) which provides that service must be made within 120 days after the filing of the complaint. "If a defendant is not served within 120 days after the complaint is filed, the court - on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff - must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant. . . ." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Service has not been effectuated on Defendant Sperry within

the time allowed under Rule 4(m). A plaintiff proceeding *in forma pauperis* is entitled to rely on officers of the Court to effect service. *See Byrd v. Stone*, 94 F.3d 217, 219 (6th Cir. 1996). However, a plaintiff may not remain silent and do nothing to effectuate service. *VanDiver v. Martin*, 304 F. Supp. 2d 934, 943 (E.D. Mich. 2004). At a minimum, the plaintiff must request service and attempt to remedy any apparent service defects of which he has knowledge. *Id.* (citation omitted).

On April 14, 2010 this Court entered an Order for Plaintiff to Show Cause why Defendant Sperry should not be dismissed pursuant to Rule 4(m). (Docket no. 38). Plaintiff, however, failed to file any response to the Order to Show Cause, and the response deadline has now passed. Plaintiff has therefore failed to show cause why Defendant Sperry should not be dismissed from this action, and she should be dismissed without prejudice.

III. NOTICE TO PARTIES REGARDING OBJECTIONS:

The parties to this action may object to and seek review of this Report and Recommendation, but are required to act within fourteen (14) days of service of a copy hereof as provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and E.D. Mich. LR 72.1(d)(2). Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of any further right of appeal. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Howard v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). Filing of objections which raise some issues but fail to raise others with specificity, will not preserve all the objections a party might have to this Report and Recommendation. *Willis v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 931 F.2d 390, 401 (6th Cir. 1991); *Smith v. Detroit Fed’n of Teachers Local 231*, 829 F.2d 1370, 1373 (6th Cir. 1987). Pursuant to E.D. Mich. LR 72.1(d)(2), a copy of any objections is to be served upon this Magistrate Judge.

Any objections must be labeled as “Objection #1,” “Objection #2,” etc. Any objection must recite *precisely* the provision of this Report and Recommendation to which it pertains. Not later

than fourteen days after service of an objection, the opposing party must file a concise response proportionate to the objections in length and complexity. The response must specifically address each issue raised in the objections, in the same order and labeled as “Response to Objection #1,” “Response to Objection #2,” etc.

Dated: July 21, 2010

s/ Mona K. Majzoub
MONA K. MAJZOUB
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Report and Recommendation was served upon Joe Harrell and Counsel of Record on this date.

Dated: July 21, 2010

s/ Lisa C. Bartlett
Case Manager